Appendix K: On-Site Required BMP Spreadsheet for Determining Loading Rates and Removal Rates for Pollutants of Concern

Site Char	acteristics					
	pment TP Loa		TP Load)			lbs TP/yr From VSMP Calculations
Allowed Post Development TP Loading (TP Allow)						lbs TP/yr From VSMP Calculations
Design C	haracterist	ics				
Type of BM						
		earing	House / Bay	Program (Circle Or	ne)	
_	·	_		d on design guidelin		tables
	us Removal Ef					le V.A.1 DEQ
•	emoval Efficie		· · · · —			le V.A.1 DEQ
•	Solids Remov	, ,	· —		% Use Tabl	
		u				ot located in Table V.C.1, see Public
<u>Calculati</u>	ons (Round (Calculat	tions to 2-de	ecimal places)	Works Staff	
TP Reduction	on					1
		_	=	=	lbs TP/yr	
-	TP Load	T	TP Allow	TP Reduction		
				Required		
		Χ	% =	·	lbs TP/yr	
-	TP Load		TP	TP Provided		
_		- <u> </u>	=	=	lbs TP/yr	
	TP Provided	TD	Reduction	Total TP Remov	ed	
			Required			
		- 11	течиней]
-N 0 -00 D						1
TN & TSS Po	ercentages					
-	TP Allow	÷	Provided	TD 0/		
	TP Allow	IP	Provided	TP %		l
TN Loading]
		Χ	6.9	=	lbs TN/yr	
-	TP Load	T	TN Ratio	TN Load		
		V	24		II >. /	
-	TN Load	. X	= =	TN Removed	lbs TN/yr	
	LINTOGO		IIV	in Kemovea		

TP %

TSS Loading	3					
_		X	469.2	=		lbs TN/yr
	TP Load	_	TSS Ratio	· -	TSS Load	_
		Χ_	%	=_		lbs TN/yr
	TSS Load	_	TSS	· -	TSS Removed	_
		x _		=_		lbs TN/yr
	TSS Removed		TP %		Total TSS Removed	

Summary of Removal

rotal Phosphorus Removed =	IDS TP/yr
Total Nitrogen Removed =	lbs TN/yr
Total Suspended Solids Removed =	lbs TSS/yr

Removal rates must meet the minimum % increase as shown in Table 2 of the Non-Residential Credit Manual.

Name of Individual Completing this Form:

APPENDIX V.A - Virginia Stormwater Clearinghouse BMPs14

To be eligible for these efficiencies, the BMP must meet all the design requirements that are listed in the Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse's technical specification for that BMP, not just the one inch requirement for runoff depth treated. There are no established efficiencies for TSS in the Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse. To calculate the TSS reductions, permittees should use the retrofit curves developed by the Bay Program or the Bay Program Established Efficiencies. The methodology for using the retrofit curves is detailed in *Appendix V.B.* For additional information about the Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse requirements, permittees should see the BMP design standards and specs, which can be found at http://wwrrc.vt.edu/swc/StandardsSpecs.html.

Table V.A.1 - Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse BMPs, Established Efficiencies

Table V.A.1 - Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse BMPs, Established Efficiencies						
Practice Number	Practice	TN	TP			
Mullinel	Fractice	IIN	III			
	Dooften Disconnection 15					
1	Rooftop Disconnection ¹⁵	25% or 50% ¹	25% or 50% ¹			
	Sheetflow to Vegetated Filter or Conserved Open Space 1	25% or 50% ¹	25% or 50% ¹			
2	Sheetflow to Vegetated Filter or Conserved Open Space 2	50% or 75% ¹	50% or 75% ¹			
3	Grass Channel	28%	23%			
	Vegetated Roof 1	45%	45%			
5	Vegetated Roof 2	60%	60%			
6	Rainwater Harvesting ¹⁵	Up to 90%	Up to 90%			
	Permeable Pavement 1	59%	59%			
7	Permeable Pavement 2	81%	81%			
	Infiltration 1	57%	63%			
8	Infiltration 2	92%	93%			
	Bioretention 1	64%	55%			
	Bioretention 2	90%	90%			
9	Urban Bioretention	64%	55%			
//2	Dry Swale 1	55%	52%			
10	Dry Swale 2	74%	76%			
	Wet Swale 1	25%	20%			
11	Wet Swale 2	35%	40%			
	Filtering Practice 1	30%	60%			
12	Filtering Practice 2	45%	65%			
	Constructed Wetland 1	25%	50%			
13	Constructed Wetland 2	55%	75%			
	Wet Pond 1	30% (20%) ²	50% (45%) ²			
14	Wet Pond 2	40% (30%) ²	75% (65%) ²			
	Extended Detention Pond 1	10%	15%			
15	Extended Detention Pond 2	24%	31%			
71 27	The state of the s					

Lower rate is for HSG soils C and D; higher rate is for HSG soils A and B

¹⁴ These efficiencies are up to date as of the publication of this guidance. The most up to date list of approved BMPs and their efficiencies can be found on the Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse website. If there is a discrepancy between this table and the website, the efficiencies on the website supersede those listed in this table. The TN efficiencies may be found in the bodies of the individual BMP reports.

¹⁵ **NOTE:** There are no Bay Program equivalent efficiency BMPs for Rooftop Disconnection and Rainwater Harvesting. Permittees must use the VA Stormwater Clearinghouse technical criteria and efficiencies to receive credit for these practices.

²Lower nutrient removal in parentheses apply to wet ponds in coastal plain terrain

APPENDIX V.C - Chesapeake Bay Program, Established Efficiencies

As an alternative to using the Bay Program Curves, permittees may use the Bay Program's established efficiencies for BMPs. Again, these efficiencies may be used for BMPs that do not meet the Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse design specifications.

Table V.C.1 - Chesapeake Bay Program BMPs, Established Efficiencies

Chesapeake Bay Program BMPs	TN	TP	TSS
Wet Ponds and Wetlands	20%	45%	60%
Dry Detention Ponds and Hydrodynamic Structures	5%	10%	10%
Dry Extended Detention Ponds	20%	20%	60%
Infiltration Practices w/o Sand, Veg.	80%	85%	95%
Infiltration Practices w/ Sand, Veg.	85%	85%	95%
Filtering Practices	40%	60%	80%
Bioretention C/D soils, underdrain	25%	45%	55%
Bioretention A/B soils, underdrain	70%	75%	80%
Bioretention A/B soils, no underdrain	80%	85%	90%
Vegetated Open Channels C/D soils, no underdrain	10%	10%	50%
Vegetated Open Channels A/B soils, no underdrain	45%	45%	70%
Bioswale	70%	75%	80%
Permeable Pavement w/o Sand, Veg. C/D soils, underdrain	10%	20%	55%
Permeable Pavement w/o Sand, Veg. A/B soils, underdrain	45%	50%	70%
Permeable Pavement w/o Sand, Veg. A/B soils, no underdrain	75%	80%	85%
Permeable Pavement w/Sand, Veg. C/D soils, underdrain	20%	20%	55%
Permeable Pavement w/Sand, Veg. A/B soils, underdrain	50%	50%	70%
Permeable Pavement w/Sand, Veg. A/B soils, no underdrain	80%	80%	85%

BMP efficiencies for wetland restoration vary depending on hydrogeomorphic region as listed below in *Table V.C.2*. To use this table the permittee will need to determine which region their MS4 is in and use the appropriate efficiency. If the permittee is unsure which Hydrogeomorphic Region it is located in, resources are available through the USGS at http://chesapeake.usgs.gov/data.html.

Table V.C.2 – Chesapeake Bay Program BMPs, Established Efficiencies Regionally Impacted

Chesar	peake Bay Program Hydrogeomorphic Region affected effic	iencies		
BMPs	Region	TN	TP	TSS
Wetland Restoration	Appalachian Plateau Siliciclastic Non-Tidal	7.0%	12%	4.0%
Wetland Restoration	Coastal Plain Dissected Uplands Non-Tidal; Coastal Plain Dissected Uplands Tidal; Coastal Plain Lowlands Tidal; Coastal Plain Uplands Tidal; Coastal Plain Lowlands Non-Tidal; Coastal Plain Uplands Non-Tidal	25%	50%	15%
Wetland Restoration	Blue Ridge Non-Tidal; Mesozoic Lowlands Non-Tidal; Valley and Ridge Carbonate Non-Tidal; Piedmont Crystalline Non-Tidal; Piedmont Carbonate Non-Tidal; Valley and Ridge Siliciclastic Non-Tidal	14%	26%	8.0%